

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/322,289	Applicant(s) SCHENK, DALE B.	
	Examiner Daniel E. Kolker	Art Unit 1649	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel E. Kolker (USPTO).

(2) Rosemarie Celli (attorney for applicant).

Date of Interview: 10 November 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
33-34, 57, 65-66, 72-81, 88-90, new claims 105-110

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: RCE filed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Daniel E Kolker/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1649

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 3 November 2010 examiner called Ms. Celli to discuss changes to the claims and the possibility of filing terminal disclaimers. Examiner faxed proposed changes to the claims to Ms. Celli. On 10 November 2010 Ms. Celli indicated that the proposed changes were acceptable and that terminal disclaimers had been filed. Changes to claim 25 were agreed upon in a separate conversation on 12 November 2010. The agreed-upon changes to the claims are in the attached office action. .